See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/231513813

Cryptovalsa ampelina on grapevines in N.E. Spain: Identification and pathogenicity

Article *in* Phytopathologia Mediterranea · April 2006 DOI: 10.14601/Phytopathol_Mediterr-1838

CITATIONS	5	READS						
33		129						
4 autho	rs, including:							
	Jordi Luque		Ester Torres					
	IRTA Institute of Agrifood Research and Technology	C.	Generalitat de Catalunya					
	136 PUBLICATIONS 1,734 CITATIONS		23 PUBLICATIONS 338 CITATIONS					
	SEE PROFILE		SEE PROFILE					
6	F. Garcia-Figueres							
	Catalonia Reference Laboratory							
	37 PUBLICATIONS 793 CITATIONS							
	SEE PROFILE							

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:

Project

Almond diseases in Spain View project

Cryptovalsa ampelina on grapevines in N.E. Spain: identification and pathogenicity

JORDI LUQUE¹, DOLORES SIERRA², ESTER TORRES³ and FRANCESC GARCIA³

 ¹ Departament de Protecció Vegetal, Institut de Recerca i Tecnologia Agroalimentàries (IRTA), Centre de Cabrils, Ctra. de Cabrils s/n, E-08348 Cabrils, Spain
 ² Departament de Biologia Vegetal (Botànica), Facultat de Biologia, Universitat de Barcelona, Avda. Diagonal 645, E-08028 Barcelona, Spain
 ³ Laboratori de Sanitat Vegetal, DARP, Via Circulació Nord, Tram VI, Carrer 3, Zona Franca, E-08040 Barcelona, Spain

Summary. Surveys conducted in diseased vineyards in Catalonia (N.E. Spain) showed that *Cryptovalsa ampelina* was very abundant on pruned canes, although it was isolated occasionally from necrotic wood of living plants. Identification of *C. ampelina* from the pruned canes was based on the morphology of the teleomorph. Its polysporous asci and pigmented allantoid ascospores distinguish it from *Eutypa lata*, the causal agent of eutypiose. However, cultures of *C. ampelina* are practically indistinguishable from cultures of other diatrypaceous species, therefore a PCR-based test was developed to identify cultures isolated from cankered wood. The designed species-specific primer pair (Camp-1/Camp-2R) allowed for the unambiguous identification of *C. ampelina* in all tested cases involving cultures of diatrypaceous fungi. Additionally, the specificity of the primer pair to *C. ampelina* was confirmed by testing it on the host and on several other fungi known to occur on grapevine, namely species in the genera *Botryosphaeria*, *Fomitiporia*, *Phaeoacremonium*, *Phaeomoniella* and *Phomopsis*. The pathogenicity of *C. ampelina* on grapevine was confirmed through the observation of significant vascular lesions in artificial inoculations of grapevine plants, but the low frequencies of both mycelium reisolation and wound canker extension would suggest a low virulence for this fungus. Although *C. ampelina* does not appear to be a major pathogen of grapevine, its implication as a contributing factor to the decline of grapevines should deserve further investigations.

Key words: Diatrypaceae, grapevine decline, molecular identification, species-specific primers, Vitis vinifera.

Introduction

Several fungi in the Diatrypaceae are known to occur on grapevines worldwide, with species in the genera *Cryptovalsa*, *Diatrype*, *Diatrypella*, *Eutypa*, and *Eutypella* (Farr *et al.*, 1989; Trouillas *et al.*, 2001). *Eutypa lata*, the causal agent of eutypiose, is the major grapevine pathogen in this group (Carter, 1988; Dubos, 1996; Larignon and Dubos, 1997). This fungus is well known as a cosmopolitan, plurivorous species on many fruit trees of economic interest, such as almond, apple, apricot, cherry, olive, and walnut (Glawe *et al.*, 1983; Rumbos, 1988, 1993, 1997; Farr *et al.*, 1989; Munkvold and Marois, 1991). While identification, pathogenicity, epidemiology and control of *E. lata* have been studied thoroughly, little is known about the other diatrypaceous fungi that occur on grapevines. Recent reports on the identification and patho-

Corresponding author: J. Luque Fax: +34 93 7533954 E-mail: jordi.luque@irta.es

genicity of *Eutypa leptoplaca* (Trouillas and Gubler, 2004; Safodien *et al.*, 2005), *Eutypella vitis* (Catal *et al.*, 2005), and *Cryptovalsa ampelina* (Mostert *et al.*, 2004) are contributing to a better knowledge about these fungi. Thus, for example, while the genus *Cryptovalsa* has been considered for some time as *incertae sedis* in the Ascomycota (Hawksworth *et al.*, 1995), Mostert *et al.* (2004) confirmed, by phylogenetic analysis of the 5.8S nuclear rRNA gene and its flanking regions ITS1 and ITS2, that *C. ampelina* could be well accommodated in the Diatrypaceae.

In recent years C. ampelina has been found repeatedly on grapevine canes in Australia and South Africa (Mostert et al., 2004). Recent surveys conducted in Catalonia (N.E. Spain) have shown this fungus to be very abundant on old pruned canes, although it was also isolated from diseased wood of living plants (Luque, unpublished data). Since co-occurrence of diatrypaceous fungi in diseased wood of grapevines (Trouillas et al., 2001) could lead to misidentification of the species, the purpose of the work reported here was focused on the identification of *C. ampelina* and the study of its pathogenicity on grapevine. The first objective was achieved through a morphological characterization of the fungus and subsequent design of a speciesspecific primer pair to be used in a molecular PCRbased diagnostic test. Pathogenicity was assessed from artificial inoculations conducted in a greenhouse experiment.

Materials and methods

Geographic survey and isolations

Surveys were conducted in Catalonia (N.E. Spain) during the spring and summer seasons of 2003–2004 to study the fungi associated with grapevine trunk diseases. Sampled plants included individuals showing symptoms known to be associated with grapevine trunk diseases (reduced growth and foliar chlorosis, foliar necroses characteristic of esca, and both branch and trunk cankers with longitudinal splits). Additionally, old pruned canes were collected when possible at each sampling point to determine the presence of pathogenic fungal species in the samples.

Isolations of *C. ampelina* were made either from single ascospores or by directly plating out pieces of diseased tissue after surface sterilization (70%)

ethanol, 4 min). Isolates were cultured on potato dextrose agar (PDA; Difco Laboratories, Detroit, IL, USA) at 25°C with 12/12 hours light/dark photoperiod. Isolates were maintained on PDA plugs in tubes of sterile distilled water at 4°C until further use.

Morphological and molecular characterization

Microscope examinations were carried out on a Zeiss Axiophot microscope fitted with DIC optics. The following parameters were used to describe dimensions of conidia and ascospores (n=50 unless specified): mean, standard deviation, 95% confidence intervals and minimum and maximum sizes. Dimensions of other fungal structures are given as the range of at least 20 measurements.

Identification of *C. ampelina* was based on the descriptions of Nitschke (1867) [basion. *Valsa ampelina* Nitschke], Fuckel (1870), Saccardo (1882), and the one recently published by Mostert *et al.* (2004). The following representative herbarium samples of *C. ampelina* were used to aid in the identification: BR-Myc 093345,30 (Fuckel, Fungi Rhenani No. 1824; *Ad Vitis viniferae sarmentos aridos*), and BR-Myc 093344,31 (Rabenhorst, Herbarium Mycologicum Ed. II No. 147; on *Vitis sarmenta*).

For molecular characterization of the isolates, fungal DNA was extracted following the modified protocol of Martin et al. (1998), using CTAB as extraction buffer and later precipitating DNA with isopropanol. Amplification of the ITS1 and ITS2 regions flanking the 5.8S ribosomal RNA gene was carried out by using the universal primers ITS1 and ITS4 (White et al., 1990). PCR reactions were performed on a GeneAmp® PCR System 9700 thermal cycler (PE Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Each reaction contained about 100 ng genomic DNA template, 20 µl Eppendorf Mastermix $2.5 \times (\text{Eppendorf AG}, \text{Hamburg}, \text{Germany})$, $0.4 \,\mu\text{m}$ of each primer, and made up to a final volume of 50 μ l with sterile HPLC-grade water. The following temperature profile was used: one cycle of initial denaturation at 95°C for 3 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation (20 sec at 95°C), annealing (30 sec at 55°C) and extension (40 sec at 72°C), and a final extension at 72°C for 5 min. PCR products were purified using the High Pure PCR Product Purification Kit (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany). The purified amplicons were sequenced in both directions using the ITS primers and the BigDye[™] Terminator v1.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The resulting fragments were analyzed on an ABI Prism 377 automated DNA sequencer (Perkin Elmer, Norwalk, CT, USA).

Specific primers design

ITS sequences of the representative isolates of C. ampelina CBS 117484 and CBS 117485, both obtained from single ascospore isolations, were used to design the species-specific primers targeting the rRNA gene. Additional ITS sequences of C. ampelina STE-U 5621 and STE-U 5622 were provided by L. Mostert (CBS, Utrecht, Netherlands), while those from other diatrypaceous fungi were obtained from GenBank: E. lata (AF099911, AF455427, AJ302450, AJ302451, AJ302452, AJ302459), Eutypa leptoplaca (AJ302453, AY684237), Eutypella vitis (AJ302466, AY462566, AY462574, AY462576, AY462578), Diatrypella spp. (AJ302440, AJ302441, AJ302442, AJ302443, AJ302444), and Diatrype spp. (AJ302422, AJ302437, AJ302439, AY684241).

Nucleotide sequences of all taxa included in this study were aligned using the BioEdit Sequence Alignment Editor (Hall, 1999). Alignments were checked visually and manual adjustments were made where necessary. The specific primer pair was designed from the aligned sequences with the aid of the Primer3 software (Rozen and Skaletsky, 2000). The designed primer pair Camp-1/Camp-2R was tested for amplification in 25 μ l PCR reactions with reactives in the same concentrations as described above. Primer sequences and the optimal temperature conditions for the PCR reaction are given below.

The specificity of the primer pair Camp-1/Camp-2R was tested on all isolates provisionally assigned to *Diatrypaceae* after morphological examination. Species-specific primers for the identification of E. *lata* and the corresponding PCR conditions as designed by Lecomte et al. (2000) were used on the same isolates to identify this latter species. All specific PCR reactions included a negative control (sterile water) and two positive controls (C. ampelina CBS 117484 and E. lata JL355). Isolates showing negative amplifications for both specific primer pairs were later processed for ITS amplification and direct sequencing following the methods described above. Additionally, PCR reactions using the Camp-1/Camp-2R pair were tested for specificity on DNA extracts from other fungi occurring on Vitis and the host plant (Table 1). All PCR reactions were performed twice for each DNA sample.

Table	1. Specifici	ty of the	primer	pair	Camp-	1/Camp-2	2R for th	ide idei	ntificatior	ı of	Cryptovalsa	ampelina.	Legend	of
symbo	ls: +, band	of expec	ted size;	; -, no	bands	; n.t., not	tested.							

а. ·	Specific prin				
Species –	C. ampelina	E. lata	 Isolates tested 		
Cryptovalsa ampelina	+	-	11		
Eutypa lata	-	+	69		
Other diatrypaceous fungi	-	-	4		
Botryosphaeria dothidea	-	n.t.	2		
Botryosphaeria lutea	-	n.t.	1		
Botryosphaeria obtusa	-	n.t.	12		
Botryosphaeria parva	-	n.t.	15		
Botryosphaeria stevensii	-	n.t.	2		
Fomitiporia mediterranea	-	n.t.	8		
Phaeoacremonium aleophilum	-	n.t.	10		
Phaeomoniella chlamydospora	-	n.t.	9		
Phomopsis cf. viticola	-	n.t.	1		
Vitis vinifera 'Macabeu'	-	n.t.	1		

Pathogenicity test

Artificial inoculations were conducted on oneyear old grapevine plants (cv. Macabeu grafted onto Richter 110 rootstocks). Plants were maintained in a greenhouse in 3-liter pots filled with a sand:peat mixture (6:1, v:v; peat Floratorf, from Floragard, Oldenburg, Germany) with sufficient water supply. The pathogenicity test was performed in a completely randomized experimental design, with 18 inoculated plants per treatment. Two isolates of C. ampelina were used, namely CBS 117484 and CBS 117486. A superficial wound $(15 \times 5 \text{ mm}, 1 \text{ mm depth})$ was made on the bark of each plant with a sterilized scalpel, 10 cm above the graft union. A mycelial plug (5 mm diameter) obtained from the margin of a fungal colony was placed in the wound with the mycelium facing the stem, and the wound was wrapped with Parafilm® (American National Can, Greenwich, CT, USA). Control plants were inoculated with sterile PDA plugs instead of the fungal inoculum. Additionally, plants inoculated with the grapevine pathogens E. lata and Botryosphaeria obtusa (JL411 and JL398, respectively) were included for comparison purposes.

Results were recorded over two different periods, with one half of the inoculated plants processed at each time. Nine plants were chosen randomly for the first reading, six months after inoculations (late autumn). The second reading was three months later (late winter). External symptoms such as foliar chlorosis, plant wilting and canker expansion were noted at each reading period. The length of the internal vascular lesions was recorded by removing the bark from the stem and measuring the necrotic lesions upwards and downwards from the site of inoculation. Reisolations were attempted by plating on PDA several surface sterilized wood pieces (70% ethanol, 4 min) taken from the necrotic tissues. Cultures were incubated at 25°C for further identification of the inoculated fungi.

Data from the assays were analysed using the SPSS v.10 statistical package. Data were checked for normality and equal variance distributions, transformed if necessary, and analyzed using multifactorial analysis of variance (ANOVA) procedures. After ANOVA, mean treatment values were compared against their respective controls with the Dunnett two-tailed test.

Results

Cryptovalsa ampelina was found on pruned canes in 84% of the 25 localities sampled for pruning fragments. The fungus was identified from its teleomorph, which was present in all samples. It was also isolated from eight plants (about 4% of the total sampled plants), from diseased wood showing occasional wedge-shaped necroses (three plants). Cryptovalsa ampelina was the only fungus isolated in one plant, while in the remaining cases this species coincided with two or more of the following fungi: Botryosphaeria obtusa, B. parva, Fomitiporia mediterranea, Phaeoacremonium aleophilum and Phaeomoniella chlamydospora. However, no fruiting bodies of C. ampelina were detected in the field samples, therefore identification was later accomplished with the examination of the anamorph morphology in culture and the use of the Camp-1/Camp-2R primers in specific PCR reactions.

The ascostromata of *C. ampelina* is poorly developed, embedded in the substrate, with a *textu*ra intrincata showing two kinds of constitutive hyphae: hyaline hyphae 2–3 μ m wide, and dematiaceous hyphae 7–8 μ m wide. Perithecia arranged in a single layer, singly arising, in rows or in small groups (Fig. 1a), 200–500 μ m diam., subglobose, with cylindrical necks 50–100 μ m long, and ostiole circular and periphysate. Perithecial wall comprised of two layers: the external of *textura globu*losa with dematiaceous, thick-walled cells, 8-20 um diam., and the internal, textura angularis, with hyaline compressed cells. Paraphyses abundant, 1.5–3 μ m wide, cylindrical, wider at the base, hyaline and rarely septate. Asci (90–)110–122(–155) \times (10–)13–15(–18) μ m (n=75), cylindrical to spindle-shape, long stipitate (70–100 μ m), polysporous (Fig. 1b). As cospores $(9-)11-12(-13) \times (2-)2.6 2.7(-3) \ \mu m \ (n=150)$, unicellular, allantoid to reniform, wall smooth, with shades of pale brown (Fig. 1c). The fungus can be readily distinguished from other members in the family by its polysporous asci and the coloured ascospores.

The anamorph of *C. ampelina*, a species in the genus *Libertella* (Mostert *et al.*, 2004), was not seen on the field samples. Cultures in PDA were white to cream-white, cottony, with diffuse margins. Reverse of colonies first pale yellow, later (>20 days) developing irregular, mostly central, dark areas. Colony growth was rapid, covering the plate sur-

face in 3–4 days at 25°C. Conidiomata sporodochium-like, consisting of conidiophores aggregated on blackened mycelial crusts, and producing cream coloured conidial masses in 4 weeks. Conidiophores hyaline and branched. Conidiogenous cells hyaline, subcylindrical, slightly tapered to the apex, proliferating sympodially, $5-16\times1-2 \ \mu m$ (*n*=20). Conidia (17)–20–23–(30)×1–1.5 $\ \mu m$, unicellular, hyaline, filiform, slightly curved to hamate, with a truncate, flattened base (Fig. 1d).

PCR amplifications of the DNA extracts of *C*. *ampelina* using the ITS1/ITS4 primers gave a prod-

uct of approximately 600 bp (GenBank accession numbers AY920390 and AY920391 for CBS 117484 and CBS 117485, respectively). Both nucleotide sequences were identical and matched exactly the sequences from the isolates STE-U 5621 and STE-U 5622. After the alignment of all nucleotide sequences included in this study (*data not shown*), a primer pair was designed to amplify part of the ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 rDNA region (~300 bp) with the following sequences: Camp-1 (forward, ITS1 region): 5'-CCT ACC CTG TAG CTA CCC TA-3', Camp-2R (reverse, ITS2 region): 5'-CAG CGT CTA



Fig. 1. Cryptovalsa ampelina. a) Erumpent perithecia on grapevine canes. b) Asci and ascus tips (insert). c) Ascospores. d) Conidia. Scale bars in μ m, except in 1a (mm).

TAG CTA GGC GA-3'. Optimal temperature conditions for the specific PCR amplification in $25 \ \mu$ l reactions were determined as follows: initial denaturation at 95°C for 3 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation (20 sec at 95°C), annealing (30 sec at 58°C) and extension (40 sec at 72°C), and a final extension at 72°C for 5 min.

A total of 84 isolates obtained from diseased wood of grapevines and identified from their morphology as potentially belonging to the Diatrypaceae were processed for molecular identification using the specific primers Lata 1/Lata 2-2 (E. lata) and Camp-1/Camp-2R (C. ampelina) in separate PCR reactions. According to the results of the specific PCR reactions, 69 isolates were identified as *E. lata* and 11 as *C. ampelina* (Fig. 2 and Table 1). None of these 80 isolates gave a double positive PCR reaction, thus confirming the discrimination between E. lata and C. ampelina in the tests. Only four isolates showed negative results with both primer pairs (from two independent repeated tests). These isolates were later processed for direct sequencing of the ITS region. Sequence homologies for these isolates obtained from GenBank ranged from 92 to 98% and were related to other diatrypaceous taxa (Eutypa leptoplaca, Eutypella vitis and *Eutypella* sp.). The test for specificity of the Camp-1/Camp-2R primer pair on other fungi occurring on Vitis and the host plant DNA showed no DNA amplification in any case (Table 1). No banding patterns other than those expected for each specific primer pair, either for identification of C. ampelina or E. lata, were seen.

All plants in the pathogenicity test, regardless of the inoculation treatment, grew adequately and showed no external symptoms during the trial. Inoculation wounds in all control plants healed



Fig. 2. PCR amplifications using the species-specific primers Lata 1/Lata 2-2 and Camp-1/Camp-2R on DNA extracts from different sources. M, molecular weight marker, 100 bp DNA ladder (New England BioLabs, Beverly, MA, USA).

within the experimental period. Wound cankers, as defined by the death of the bark and the underlying tissues surrounding the inoculation wound were less abundant in plants inoculated with *C. ampelina* (11–16% of inoculated plants), but more frequent in *E. lata* (33%) and *B. obtusa* (56%) (Table 2). Internal lesions, as characterized by dark brown discolourations were found in all plants, although those from plants of the control group were slightly paler. Original measurements of lesion lengths were log-transformed before ANOVA to homogenize group variances. A preliminary ANO-VA showed non-significant effects of the period af-

	-			
Treatment	Wound canker (n)	$Mycelium\ recovery\ (n)$	$Necrosis \ length^{a} \ (mm)$	
Cryptovalsa ampelina CBS 117484	2	9	14.83	
Cryptovalsa ampelina CBS 117486	3	5	16.61	
Eutypa lata JL411	6	17	18.61	
Botryosphaeria obtusa JL398	10	18	23.88	
Control	0	0	9.44	

Table 2. Pathogenicity of *Cryptovalsa ampelina* on one-year old grapevine plants cv. Macabeu (n=18). Data on *Eutypa lata* and *Botryosphaeria obtusa* included for comparison purposes.

^a Mean values different from control are shown in bold according to Dunnett's test (P<0.01). Original data were log-transformed.



Fig. 3. Cross section of a cane inoculated with *Cryptoval-sa ampelina* CBS 117486 showing a necrosis extending from the inoculation wound.

ter inoculation (P=0.703) and its interaction with the inoculation treatment (P=0.129) on the lesion lengths, therefore a one-way ANOVA was carried out with the inoculation treatment as the only independent variable. Mean lengths of vascular lesions caused by the inoculated fungi were all significantly longer than the vascular discolourations in the control group (Table 2). Lesions caused by C. ampelina were comparable to those caused by *E. lata* but significantly shorter than in *B. obtusa*. Recovery of *C. ampelina* from symptomatic tissue was low (28-50%) compared to the higher values recorded for E. lata (94%) and B. obtusa (100%) (Table 2). Cross sections of canes inoculated with C. ampelina showed eventually a lesion resembling a wedge-shaped necrosis (Fig. 3), which suggest a colonization of inner tissues from the inoculation wound. However, this was only noticed in three plants from the 18 processed in the last reading period.

Discussion

Cryptovalsa ampelina does not appear to be a major pathogen of grapevine, as inferred from the results obtained in this study. In particular, a high-

er occurrence of C. ampelina in pruned canes than in diseased wood and the low recovery of the fungus in the pathogenicity test would support this conclusion. In a previous report about the identification and pathogenicity of this fungus, Mostert et al. (2004) reached a similar conclusion from their experimental data. However, the authors successfully re-isolated the fungus from all inoculated plants and observed significantly longer vascular lesions than in the controls, thus indicating that C. ampelina is clearly pathogenic to grapevine. Differences in the reisolation frequencies found in this study and the data of Mostert et al. (2004) might be explained by different experimental conditions, especially different susceptibility of varieties and virulence of the isolates, although no firm conclusion can be drawn from these variables.

Internal necroses caused by the two isolates of C. ampelina used in this study (CBS 117484 and CBS 117486) were significantly longer than the vascular discolourations in the controls, but the low frequencies of both mycelium recovery and wound canker expansion would suggest a low to moderate virulence for this fungus. However, pathogenicity tests with some grapevine pathogens usually do not provide a complete assessment of the pathogenicity of these species, especially when symptoms other than vascular lesions (e.g., external symptoms such as foliar necroses, abnormal growth, etc.) may take a long time to develop (Péros et al., 1999; Sparapano et al., 2000a, 2000b; Feliciano et al., 2004; Trouillas and Gubler, 2004). The inclusion of known grapevine pathogens such as Eutypa lata and Botryosphaeria obtusa in our pathogenicity test allowed for the comparison of the vascular lesions and confirmed the absence of external symptoms in the plants despite the inoculated pathogen (see van Niekerk et al., [2004] for additional information on pathogenicity of B. obtusa).

Trouillas and Gubler (2004) confirmed the pathogenicity of the diatrypaceous fungus *Eutypa leptoplaca* on grapevine, by measuring extensive xylem necroses in two-year old 'Cabernet Sauvignon' plants one year after inoculation. Although lesions were significantly longer than those in the control plants, frequencies of fungal reisolations ranged from 30 to 60% (30% was the recovery percentage for an isolate obtained from grapevine). Thus, some similarities can be found between the pathogenic behaviour of C. ampelina described in this study and E. leptoplaca in artificial inoculations of young grapevine plants. Furthermore, Trouillas and Gubler (2004) suggested a potential involvement of E. leptoplaca in the decline of adult grapevine plants, since they isolated this species from dying tissues below pruning wounds, and found its stromata interspersed with those of E. lata on dead wood. In our study, C. ampelina was found to be occasionally associated with wedge-shaped necroses in diseased plants, thus supporting the pathogenic character concluded from the pathogenicity tests. On the other hand, the frequent cooccurrence of C. ampelina with other known grapevine pathogens in diseased adult plants may be interpreted as a synergistic contribution of a facultative pathogen, which would be in accordance with the moderate virulence of this fungus. In conclusion, further investigations should consider the long-term pathogenic effects of C. ampelina, especially on adult plants, and the incidence of this fungus in other wine regions of the world in order to get a better understanding of the role of C. ampeli*na* as a contributing factor (*sensu* Manion, 1991) to the decline of grapevines.

Cultures of diatrypaceous fungi are often indistinguishable from one another on the basis of their colony morphology and mitosporic characteristics (Glawe and Rogers, 1984; Rolshausen et al., 2004), which makes identification difficult when dealing with cultures obtained from diseased wood and when no teleomorph is available for identification. Therefore, the occurrence of several diatrypaceous species in the diseased wood of affected grapevines (Trouillas et al., 2001) could lead to misleading identifications when some of these fungi co-occur in the same diseased plant. From the isolates tested in this study, the use of the Camp-1/Camp-2R primers in a specific PCR reaction can aid in the identification of C. ampelina from cultures. However, additional tests involving a greater number of diatrypaceous species and even new C. ampelina isolates from different geographic origins are needed to validate definitely the specificity of the primers.

Acknowledgements

This work was financed by the 'Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Tecnología Agraria y Ali mentaria' (INIA, Spain) under the research project RTA03-058-C2. The authors wish to thank L. Mostert (CBS, Utrecht, Netherlands) for kindly providing us with the ITS sequences of *C. ampelina* STE-U 5621 and STE-U 5622, and for her helpful comments and advice. We are grateful to Dr. A. Phillips (Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal) for his helpful comments and suggestions made on an early draft of the manuscript.

Literature cited

- Carter M.V., 1988. Eutypa dieback. In: Compendium of Grape Diseases (R.C. Pearson, A.C. Goheen, ed.), APS Press, St. Paul, MN, USA, 32–33.
- Catal M., S.A. Jordan, S.C. Butterworth and A.M.C. Schilder, 2005. PCR-based detection of *Eutypa lata* and *Eutypella vitis*. In: *Phytopathologia Mediterranea* 44, 95 (abstract).
- Dubos B., 1996. L'eutypiose de la vigne, Eutypa lata (Pers.: Fr.) Tul. Comptes Rendus de l'Academie d'Agriculture de France 82, 21–30.
- Farr D.F., G.F. Bills, G.P. Chamuris and A.Y. Rossman, 1989. Fungi on Plant and Plant Products in the United States. APS Press, St. Paul, MN, USA, 1252 pp.
- Feliciano A.J., A. Eskalen and W.D. Gubler, 2004. Differential susceptibility of three grapevine cultivars to *Phaeoacremonium aleophilum* and *Phaeomoniella chlamydospora* in California. *Phytopathologia Mediterranea* 43, 66–69.
- Fuckel L., 1870. Symbolae mycologicae. Beiträge zur Kenntnis der rheinischen Pilze. Jahrbücher des Nassauischen Vereins für Naturkunde 23–24, 212.
- Glawe D.A., M.A. Dilley and W.J. Moller, 1983. Isolation and identification of *Eutypa armeniacae* from *Malus domestica* in Washington State. *Mycotaxon* 18, 315– 318.
- Glawe D.A. and J.D. Rogers, 1984. Diatrypaceae in the Pacific Northwest. *Mycotaxon* 20, 401–460.
- Hall T.A., 1999. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symposium Series 41, 95–98.
- Hawksworth D.L., P.M. Kirk, B.C. Sutton and D.N. Pegler, 1995. Ainsworth and Bisby's Dictionary of the Fungi. 8th edition, CAB International, Wallingford, UK, 616 pp.
- Larignon P. and B. Dubos, 1997. Fungi associated with esca disease in grapevine. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* 103, 147–157.
- Lecomte P., J-P. Péros, D. Blancard, N. Bastien and C. Délye, 2000. PCR assays that identify the grapevine dieback fungus *Eutypa lata*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 66, 4475–4480.
- Manion P.D., 1991. Tree Disease Concepts. 2nd edition, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, USA, 402 pp.
- Martin F., G. Costa, C. Delaruelle and J. Diez, 1998. Ge-

nomic fingerprinting of ectomycorrhizal fungi by microsatellite-primed PCR. In: *Mycorrhiza Manual* (A. Varma, ed.), Berlin Heidelberg, Germany, 463–474.

- Mostert L., F. Halleen, M.L. Creaser and P.W. Crous, 2004. Cryptovalsa ampelina, a forgotten shoot and cane pathogen of grapevines. Australasian Plant Pathology 33, 295–299.
- Munkvold G.P. and J.J. Marois, 1991. Perithecia of *Eutypa lata* on sweet cherry in the central valley of California. *Plant Disease* 75, 431.
- Niekerk J.M. van, P.W. Crous, J.Z. Groenewald, P.H. Fourie and F. Halleen, 2004. DNA phylogeny, morphology and pathogenicity of *Botryosphaeria* species on grapevines. *Mycologia* 96, 781–798.
- Nitschke T.H., 1867. Pyrenomycetes Germanici 1, 156.
- Péros J-P., I. Jamaux-Despréaux, G. Berger and D. Gerba, 1999. The potential importance of diversity in *Eutypa lata* and co-colonising fungi in explaining variation in development of grapevine dieback. *Mycological Research* 103, 1385–1390.
- Rolshausen P.E., F. Trouillas and W.D. Gubler, 2004. Identification of *Eutypa lata* by PCR-RFLP. *Plant Disease* 88, 925–929.
- Rozen S. and H.J. Skaletsky, 2000. Primer3 on the WWW for general users and for biologist programmers. In: *Bioinformatics Methods and Protocols: Methods in Molecular Biology* (S. Krawetz, S. Misener, ed.), Humana Press, Totowa, NJ, USA, 365–386. (URL: http:// frodo.wi.mit.edu/cgi-bin/primer3/primer3_www.cgi)
- Rumbos I.C., 1988. Isolation of *Eutypa lata* from *Juglans* regia, and pathogenicity studies on different wood plants. *Journal of Phytopathology* 122, 193–199.
- Rumbos I.C., 1993. Dieback symptoms on olive trees

caused by the fungus *Eutypa lata*. *Bulletin OEPP/ EPPO Bulletin* 23, 441–445.

- Rumbos I.C., 1997. Eutypa canker and dieback of almonds. Bulletin OEPP/EPPO Bulletin 27, 463–468.
- Saccardo P.A., 1882. Sylloge Fungorum I. Padova, Italy, 187.
- Safodien S., F. Halleen, P.W. Crous, W.A. Smit and A. Botha, 2005. Molecular characterisation and identification of *Eutypa* spp. from grapevines in South Africa. In: *Phytopathologia Mediterranea* 44, 86–87 (abstract).
- Sparapano L., G. Bruno, C. Ciccarone and A. Graniti, 2000a. Infection of grapevines by some fungi associated with esca. I. *Fomitiporia punctata* as a wood-rot inducer. *Phytopathologia Mediterranea* 39, 46–52.
- Sparapano L., G. Bruno, C. Ciccarone and A. Graniti, 2000b. Infection of grapevines by some fungi associated with esca. II. Interaction among *Phaeoacremonium* chlamydosporum, P. aleophilum and Fomitiporia punctata. Phytopathologia Mediterranea 39, 53–58.
- Trouillas F.P. and W.D. Gubler, 2004. Identification and characterization of *Eutypa leptoplaca*, a new pathogen of grapevine in Northern California. *Mycological Research* 108, 1195–1204.
- Trouillas F.P., P.E. Rolshausen and W.D. Gubler, 2001. Importance of *Eutypa lata* and occurrence of other Diatrypaceous fungi in Northern California vineyards. *Phytopathology* 91 (suppl.), S89 (abstract).
- White T.J., T. Bruns, S. Lee and J. Taylor, 1990. Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: *PCR Protocols: a Guide to Methods and Applications* (M.A. Innis, D.H. Gelfand, J.J. Sninsky, T.J. White, ed.), Academic Press, San Diego, CA, USA, 315–322.

Accepted for publication: December 9, 2005